

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/8×6,011	06/22/2001	Peter Virgil Fisher	07414.0040-00000	4801
22852	7590 09/20/2002			
FINNEGAN	, HENDERSON, FAR	EXAMINER		
DUNNER LL 1300 I STREE	ET, NW	RILEY, JEZIA		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	4
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		09/886,011		FISHER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jezia Riley		1637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
·	on of Claims	.							
4) Claim(s) 1-123 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-123</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requi	irement.						
•	on Papers	0,000,000,004,00							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Exar	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/886,011

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, 22-25, 78-100, drawn to a compound, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1.
- II. Claims 14-21, 26-33, drawn to a compound, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1.
- III. Claims 34-41, drawn to a compound IV, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1.
- IV. Claims 42-49, drawn to a compound V, classified in class 536, subclass4.1.
- V. Claims 50-57, drawn to a compound VI, classified in class 536, subclass4.1.
- VI. Claims 58-62, drawn to a compound VII, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1.
- VII. Claims 63-67, drawn to a compound VIII, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1.
- VIII. Claims 68-77, drawn to a compound IX and X, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1.
- IX. Claims 101-114, drawn to a method for sequencing, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,011

Art Unit: 1637

- X. Claims 115-116, drawn to a method for preparing polynucleotide,classified in class 435, subclasses 6 and 91.1.
- XI. Claim 117, drawn to a method of preparing dye labeled RNA, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.
- XII. Claims 118-123, drawn to a method for detecting 5-methylcytosine, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-VIII and IX-XII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compounds can be used for any of the inventions of IX-XII. Or they can be used for any probes for hybridization assay or in methods of inhibition as substrate analogs or alternatively as in-vivo enzymatic inhibitors of which many mononucleotides are well known.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,011

Art Unit: 1637

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Applicants have to do a species election for L moiety for group I; L1 and L2 for Group II; M for group III-V.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 703-305-6855. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

September 19, 2002

JEZIA RILEY ODMARY EXAMINER